



Summary

US-EPA's ENERGY STAR is a critical voluntary, non-regulatory public-private partnership focused on energy efficiency in buildings and products. Commercial, residential, and manufacturing stakeholders all rely heavily on ENERGY STAR certifications and other offerings.

The good news is that Congress is poised to pass sufficient ENERGY STAR funding for FY'26—a very positive development given the Trump administration's signals last spring to potentially de-fund and privatize the program.

Meanwhile, a number of progressive cities and states ([map](#)) have enacted building performance standards (BPS). These mandates impose rules regarding building emissions, electrification, and compliance timelines. The regulatory specifics vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction—making compliance exceedingly complex and expensive. To help bring consistency to the nationwide “patchwork” of BPS regulations, **RER has developed a peer-reviewed [policy guide](#) outlining 20 key considerations for any jurisdiction adopting a BPS law.**

In addition, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) have developed their own BPS-type standards and climate accounting frameworks. Chief among these is the World Resources Institute's Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol, and the Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTi). These NGO standards increasingly influence decisions of certain pension and sovereign wealth funds, pressuring CRE and other companies to “align” with “net-zero” targets as a condition to providing investment capital.

Key Takeaways

- **Voluntary, non-regulatory federal guidelines like ENERGY STAR recognizing “high performance” real estate remain critical.** These programs help quantify energy savings, attract capital, place less strain on the grid, and promote innovation in U.S. buildings.
- More than 330,000 buildings—representing nearly 25 percent of U.S. commercial building floor space—utilized [EPA's Portfolio Manager](#) software last year.
- ENERGY STAR-certified buildings achieve an average of 35 percent less energy usage compared to similar non-certified buildings. The [program](#) has saved businesses and families nearly \$200 billion in utility bills since 1992, including \$14 billion in 2024 alone.
- States and cities are adopting BPS mandates that often impose rigid electrification or net zero emissions targets. These laws vary significantly and frequently penalize buildings already recognized as high-performance assets under federal programs.

Background

Building Performance Standards

- No federal agency has authority from Congress to regulate private sector buildings through a national building performance standard (BPS).
- “Progressive” state and local governments are adopting and implementing BPS laws that impose energy and climate performance mandates on real estate.
- These laws typically set annual limits on how much energy buildings can use and how much greenhouse gases (GHGs) they can emit, with an ultimate goal of reaching net zero emissions around 2050.
- Failing to meet local BPS requirements can result in fines and penalties on buildings.
- The Trump administration's April 8, 2025 [Executive Order](#) on “Protecting American Energy from State Overreach” reflects the administration's view that “American energy dominance is threatened when State and local governments seek to regulate energy beyond their constitutional or statutory authorities.”



Recommendations

Support ENERGY STAR: Programs like EPA’s [ENERGY STAR](#) and “NextGen” certified buildings and DOE’s [Better Buildings](#) initiative signify “high performance” real estate and are critical to unleashing America’s energy dominance.

- **ENERGY STAR helps “unleash American energy dominance” aligned with President Trump’s priorities.** It is key to the “all of the above” national energy strategy because it is the main U.S. government program focused on avoiding energy waste. It provides the federal standard to use all energy resources efficiently regardless of fuel source.
- ENERGY STAR is a **voluntary federal program**. It is a non-regulatory public-private partnership. It is embedded in how residential and commercial owners operate buildings and has supported the commercial real estate industry for more than 30 years.
- ENERGY STAR has always been **widely bipartisan**. On multiple occasions, big majorities of Congress during both Republican and Democratic administrations have authorized and funded the program.
- **U.S. commercial building owners use ENERGY STAR to save money and earn profit.** ENERGY STAR is all about the “business case” for energy efficiency. The program has saved families and businesses:
 - \$200 billion in utility bills since inception; and \$14 billion in energy cost savings in 2024 alone.
- ENERGY STAR assists real estate companies in helping their **renter families and business tenants lower their utility bills**. It gives owners the tools to effectively quantify and communicate how much energy tenants use in the spaces they lease.
- ENERGY STAR **improves grid reliability**. It quantifies how buildings can free-up capacity on the electric grid needed to grow AI, crypto markets, and U.S. manufacturing.
 - ENERGY STAR certified buildings—including data centers—use 35 percent less energy compared to similar buildings in their asset class.
 - In 2024, ENERGY STAR helped buildings and plants save kWh equal to about 92 percent of all electricity used in the state of Florida in a single year.
- The U.S. real estate industry needs ENERGY STAR to **attract investment capital**—especially from overseas. We use ENERGY STAR to push back against unrealistic “net zero” requirements from Europe and elsewhere.
- Real estate is **aligned with the manufacturing sector**. We support ENERGY STAR with the appliance-side of the program, and are pursuing joint advocacy to Congress and the federal agencies.

Ensure Fair and Reasonable BPS Laws: States and localities should ensure their building performance mandates reflect the 20 points raised in RER’s peer-reviewed policy guide, which provides extensive guidance and detailed stakeholder input.

- Chief among these points: US-EPA and US-DOE guidelines should offer compliance pathways with state/local BPS laws. Uniform federal criteria can bring rationality and consistency to the [chaotic “patchwork”](#) of BPS regulatory mandates across the country.
- No city or state BPS law should fine or penalize a “high performance” building recognized by US-EPA or US-DOE partnerships.
- Policymakers must also consider how BPS regulations impact key points such as:
 - Affordability and supply of housing for low-income and working class families;
 - Availability of debt, equity, and incentives to pay for all of the retrofit projects induced by BPS laws;
 - Reliability of local grids to provide electricity, if power infrastructure is strained by all of the extra loads caused by building electrification;
 - Achievability of goals to reduce overall emissions, if the community’s electric grid relies heavily on fossil fuels; and



ENERGY STAR and Building Performance Standards

The Real Estate Roundtable

- Accessibility of market-based programs (e.g., [RECs](#)) to purchase clean power to help achieve an “all of the above” energy strategy.

GHG Protocol’s Proposed Changes to Scope 2 Guidelines are Impractical—and Should Not be Adopted:

- GHG Protocol recommendations to change its “Scope 2 Guidelines” to account for emissions from purchased electricity, steam, heat and cooling, would not be workable or practicable for U.S. real estate assets.
- RER and other real estate industry groups do not support GHG Protocol’s proposal to require so-called “24/7 matching” for bulk clean energy purchases.
- It is not feasible to require companies that contract for clean energy to match power consumption with emissions-free generation every hour, of every day, co-located on-site and/or within the same local grid segment.
- GHG Protocol should continue to allow optional 24/7 matching—but not require it under their Guidelines.
- Mandating strict time and place restrictions for corporate procurements like Renewable Energy Certificates will make compliance burdens with the Scope 2 Guidelines too onerous—and disincentize private sector investments in clean energy.